# AIRPROX REPORT No 2014162

Date/Time:	2 Sep 2014 12272	Z			
<u>Position</u> :	5253N 00418W (NWMTA – GH Central)				
<u>Airspace</u> :	London FIR	( <u><i>Class</i></u> : G)			
	<u>Aircraft 1</u>	<u>Aircraft 2</u>			
<u>Type</u> :	Hawk T1 (A)	Hawk T1 (B)			
<u>Operator</u> .	HQ Air (Trg)	HQ Air (Trg)			
<u>Alt/FL</u> :	NK RPS (1020hPa)	5500ft RPS (1020hPa)			
Conditions:	VMC	VMC			
<u>Visibility</u> :	50km	50km			
Reported Separation:					
	0ft V/1000ft H	0ft V/1500ft H			
Recorded Separation:					
	0ft V/0.3nm H				



#### PART A: SUMMARY OF INFORMATION REPORTED TO UKAB

**THE HAWK (A) PILOT** reports conducting general handling (GH) instruction in the GH 'North' sector of the North Wales Military Training Area (NWMTA). The black aircraft had all lights selected on, as was the SSR transponder with Modes A, C and S. The aircraft was not fitted with an ACAS or TAS. The pilot was operating under VFR in VMC in receipt of a Traffic Service from Valley Radar. With several ATC calls regarding traffic to the northwest, coincident with other traffic previously operating in the GH 'Central' sector vacating that area, Hawk (A) pilot announced his intention to vacate GH 'North' and move to GH 'Central'. Once he believed they were clear of all traffic, maximum rate turn practice was commenced. On the second high-g turn, passing through 270° at 380kt, the pilot caught sight of another Hawk co-altitude, approx 1000ft laterally separated, and moving rapidly from right to left in the canopy. Subsequent RT confirmed that this was Hawk (B) and an Airprox was declared. The Hawk (A) pilot commented that at no stage was he made aware of Hawk (B) pilot's intention to operate in the same area.

He assessed the risk of collision as 'Low'.

**THE HAWK (B) PILOT** reports conducting his first solo on type. The black aircraft had all lights selected on, as was the SSR transponder with Modes A, C and S. The aircraft was not fitted with an ACAS or TAS. The pilot was operating under VFR in VMC in receipt of a Traffic Service from Valley Radar. After circumnavigating Anglesey Island he transited west of RAF Valley at 3,000ft heading 180° and then turned onto 150° for GH 'Central' with a climb to 5,500ft on the RPS. ATC called traffic to the east [Hawk (A)] with which he was visual, assessing no conflict. He elected to remain on the western edge of GH 'North' to keep clear of Hawk (A), and subsequently entered GH Central at the northern end. An ATC Traffic Information call was made regarding aircraft proximity and the Hawk (B) pilot focused on look out to visually re-acquire Hawk (A) [again]. Whilst heading 090° at 350kt he saw Hawk (A) in the left 10 o'clock at an estimated range of 1500ft as it was manoeuvred in a descending turn to the right. Hawk (B) pilot turned hard right, called his position to Hawk (A), and rolled out heading 270° with Hawk (A) now in his right 5 o'clock, heading 360° and descending away. The Hawk (A) pilot declared an Airprox. Hawk (B) pilot remained in GH 'Central' until sufficient separation was achieved from Hawk (A) and then elected to recover to Valley and land.

He assessed the risk of collision as 'Medium'.

**THE VALLEY RADAR CONTROLLER** reports controlling over the lunch period with high-to-medium workload and medium task-difficulty. Hawk (B) pilot had just completed his ACWRI<sup>1</sup> phase and called climbing in to GH 'Central' for general handling. Hawk (A) was already in the GH area, and had been working in both GH 'Central' and GH 'North'. The controller informed Hawk (B) pilot of Hawk (A)'s position and stated that he was tracking south, towards GH 'Central'. Hawk (B) pilot called visual with Hawk (A), and Hawk (A) pilot was also passed Traffic Information on Hawk (B). Traffic information was passed on further occasions and responded to with a visual call by Hawk (B) pilot. Throughout the period, the Valley Radar VHF frequency was also busy<sup>2</sup>, requiring some lengthy calls in relation to pilots under a Basic Service. Hawks (B) and (A) were then observed to converge with Mode C indicating they were climbing and descending towards each other respectively. The controller called Hawk (B) pilot to confirm he was still visual with Hawk (A). The subsequent transmission was believed to be from Hawk (B) pilot to Hawk (A) pilot, informing him of his position. Hawk (A) pilot responded shortly afterwards and declared 'I think that's an Airprox'. Hawk (A) pilot then took up a northerly heading and Hawk (B) pilot deconflicted by taking up a westerly heading.

The controller stated that Hawk (B) pilot questioned him as to whether he was booked to be in GH 'Central' and was informed that he had been warned out for GH 'Central' but that it was Class G airspace and that there could be more than one singleton operating in the area. The dedicated Valley 'GH' controller position was not available at the time due to manning over the lunch period; however, the controller did not believe this would have alleviated the situation in any way.

He perceived the severity of the incident as 'Medium'.

**THE VALLEY SUPERVISOR** reports that the controller's narrative description of events was an accurate account of what happened and he agreed with the comments. The Radar controller's workload was increasing; as a result both the Supervisor and the Approach controller were monitoring the frequencies in order to provide assistance if or when required. However, the Radar controller was operating well within his capacity throughout.

#### Factual Background

The weather at RAF Valley was recorded as follows:

METAR EGOV 021150Z 02003KT 9999 FEW028 BKN040 18/09 Q1024 BLU NOSIG METAR EGOV 021250Z 06004KT 9999 FEW030 BKN040 19/09 Q1023 BLU NOSIG

From	То	Speech Transcription	Time
Hawk (A)	RAD	[Hawk (A) C/S] is err working in GH Central	12:24.34
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S] that's approved	12:24.41
Hawk (A)	RAD	[Hawk (A) C/S]	12:24.46
Hawk (B)	RAD	[Hawk (B) C/S] now climbing into the block 7000 to 20000 GH Central	12:25.07
RAD	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] roger there is currently one other callsign working in the GH area, currently in the southern half of north, travelling to central, it's a single hawk callsign [Hawk (A) C/S]	12:25.14
Hawk (B)	RAD	Err height?	12:25.23
RAD	Hawk (B)	Currently indicating 6000 feet in your left 10 o'clock 8 miles maneuvering	12:25.29
Hawk (B)	RAD	[Hawk (B) C/S] visual	12:25.35
RAD	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] Roger	12:25.38
Hawk (A)	RAD	Err [Hawk (A) C/S] request an update on that traffic close to me please	12:25.49

A transcript of the Valley Radar UHF frequency was made as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Anti-Clockwise Round Island.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hawk (A) and Hawk (B) pilots were both using the Valley Radar UHF frequency.

From	То	Speech Transcription	Time
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S] that traffic is west-northwest of you, one zero miles tracking south indicating 5500	12:25.53
Hawk (A)	RAD	[Hawk (A) C/S] looking we are climbing for a expedite at 12000 feet	12:26.01
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S]	12:26.09
RAD	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] previous traffic left 9 o'clock 4 miles tracking south indicating 12000 feet	12:26.49
Hawk (B)	RAD	[Hawk (B) C/S]	12:26.55
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S] that traffic is err north 1 mile maneuvering no height information	12:27.26
Hawk (B)	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S] from [Hawk (B) C/S] I'm on your right 2 o'clock maneuvering away	12:27.31
RAD	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] you still visual with [Hawk (A) C/S]?	12:27.41
Hawk (B)	RAD	No, he is now heading north away	12:27.44
Hawk (A)	?	[Mistaken C/S] I think that is probably an AIRPROX	12:27.51
Hawk (A)	?	[Hawk (A) C/S] that's probably an AIRPROX	12:27.53
Hawk (A)	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] on freq confirm you can see me, I cannot see you, I'm heading north at 4000 feet	12:27.55
Hawk (B)	Hawk (A)	I'm not visual now but I saw you err deconflicting heading north from [Hawk (B) C/S]	12:28.02
RAD	Hawk (A)	You are err both deconflicted from each other now, [Hawk (A) C/S] [Hawk (B) C/S] is in your err south west 4 miles tracking west indicating 5000 feet descending	12:28.11
Hawk (A)	RAD	[Hawk (A) C/S] looking not visual this time maintaining north at 5000 feet	12:28.20
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S]	12:28.25
Hawk (B)	RAD	Err Radar [Hawk (B) C/S] can you confirm I'm to operate in GH central	12:28.35
RAD	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] you are fragged to operate in GH Central but it's class G airspace, you can have more than one singleton operating in that area, it's not sterilized for you	12:28.39
Hawk (B)	RAD	Roger [Hawk (B) C/S] visual with [Hawk (A) C/S]	12:28.49
Hawk (A)	RAD	[Hawk (A) C/S] transiting back to GH north at 5000 feet, we will talk about it on the ground	12:28.54
Hawk (A)	RAD	Radar [Hawk (A) C/S] transiting back to err Mona to stud 5	12:29.45
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S] that's err copied can you just confirm who was it that called AIRPROX on freq	12:29.52
Hawk (A)	RAD	[Hawk (A) C/S] that was me assessed visually	12:29.54
RAD	Hawk (A)	[Hawk (A) C/S] roger thank you, continue with Approach stud 5	12:29.57
Hawk (A)	RAD	Stud 5 [Hawk (A) C/S]	12:29.59
Hawk (B)	RAD	Radar [Hawk (B) C/S] for visual recovery	12:30.11
RAD	Hawk (B)	[Hawk (B) C/S] freecall Approach stud 5 for a visual recovery	12:30.13

# Analysis and Investigation

# Military ATM

The incident occurred on 2 Sep 14 at 1230, in North Wales between two Hawks. Both pilots were under a Traffic Service with the Valley Radar Controller.

At 1225:14 (Figure 1), the controller transmitted, "[Hawk (B) C/S] roger there is currently one other callsign working in the GH area currently in the southern half of North travelling to Central. It's a single Hawk callsign [Hawk (A) C/S]" Hawk (B) pilot requested the height and the controller responded with, "Currently indicating 6000 feet in your left 10 o'clock 8 miles manoeuvring." Hawk (A) pilot then started high energy manoeuvres, including a steep descent, and the Mode C dropped off the radar replay in the descent; the Hawk (B) pilot reported visual at 1225:35.



Figure 1: Traffic Information at 1225:14 (Hawk (B) squawk 3722; Hawk (A) squawk 3723)

Hawk (A) pilot requested an update and at 1225:53 (Figure 2); the controller transmitted, "[Hawk (A) C/S] *that traffic is west-northwest of you, one zero miles, tracking south, indicating 5500.*" Hawk (A) pilot responded with, "[Hawk (A) C/S] *looking, we are climbing for a expedite at 12000 feet.*"



Figure 2: Updated Traffic Information at 1225:53

At 1226:49 (Figure 3), the controller briefed, "[Hawk (B) C/S] previous traffic left 9 o'clock 4 miles tracking south indicating 12000 feet."



At 1227:21 (Figure 4), the aircraft were on a closing geometry.



Figure 4: Geometry at 1227:21.

An update was provided at 1227:26 (Figure 5), as, "[Hawk (A) C/S] that traffic is err north 1 mile manoeuvring no height information."



Figure 5: Traffic update at 1227:26

Hawk (B) pilot transmitted at 1227:32 (Figure 6), "[Hawk (A) C/S] *from* [Hawk (B) C/S] *I'm on your right 2 o'clock manoeuvring away*." The CPA was difficult to establish because the Hawk (A) Mode C return disappeared from radar; the closest horizontal separation on radar was 0.5nm, between 1227:28 and 1227:44.



Figure 6: 1227:32 at approximate CPA

The Valley Radar Controller provided Traffic Information and updates, as per the provision of a Traffic Service. The controller was bandboxing frequencies (Valley Radar UHF, LARS/Zone VHF and Rotary Wing Low Level) and the dedicated GH console was closed, due to lunch-breaks and a low intensity flying programme. The controller had a medium-to-high workload but maintained information to the Hawk pilots, despite several landline calls and lengthy transmissions on the LARS VHF frequency.

Neither aircraft were fitted with ACAS or TAS and both pilots were made aware of the other aircraft from Traffic Information. The appropriate type of service was selected for the conditions and requests for updates and information from the occurrence reports demonstrated that the pilots were factoring in the position of the other aircraft when selecting airspace and when to

conduct high energy manoeuvres. Following information, at 1226:49, that Hawk (A) was in Hawk (B) pilot's 9 o'clock position, tracking south, the pilot subsequently routed left, to the east, closing the geometry. Hawk (A) pilot was informed of the position and altitude of Hawk (B) and responded with 'looking' at 1226:01; Hawk (A) pilot felt that there was enough separation to descend to a similar altitude and turned onto a north-westerly track, closing the geometry.

The normal barriers to an Airprox would be ACAS/TAS, 'see-and-avoid', radar-derived Traffic Information and deconfliction procedures. ACAS/TAS was an absent barrier; information was passed from ATC and updates were passed as the controller workload allowed. The pilots were aware of their lookout responsibilities, they were entitled to be in the GH corridor as singletons and they made efforts to maintain SA of the other aircraft's position. The partial/absent barriers combined with the limitations of 'see-and-avoid' contributed to a loss of satisfactory separation. The relatively small Hawk present challenges for pilots to 'see-and-avoid'; the black colour scheme should provide contrast with the background sky on a VMC day but not necessarily with the ground. Additionally, the small size of a Hawk, the limitations of scan and field of view in high energy manoeuvres, and the high workload helped contribute to a late sighting and potential loss of situational awareness.

#### UKAB Secretariat

Both pilots shared an equal responsibility for collision avoidance and not to fly into such proximity as to create a danger of collision<sup>3</sup>. If the incident geometry is considered as converging then the Hawk pilot on the left was required to give way to the other Hawk<sup>4</sup>. If the incident geometry is considered as head-on then both pilots were required to turn to the right<sup>5</sup>, notwithstanding their responsibility to avoid collision.

#### Comments

#### HQ Air Command

This incident hinges around the transmission of Traffic Information to Hawk (B) pilot at 1226:49, where the pilot was informed that Hawk (A) was in his '... left 9 o'clock at 4 miles ...'. Following receipt of this information, the pilot of Hawk (B) then turned left and was probably trying to visually acquire Hawk (A) on the inside of the turn. Hawk (A) was, in fact, in Hawk (B) pilot's left 10.30 as the pilot of Hawk (B) commenced his turn and thus the pilot of Hawk (B) unintentionally set up a closing flight path on Hawk (A). The transmission of Traffic Information to the pilot of Hawk (A) at 1227:26 would have cued both pilots' eyes into the correct volume of airspace. Traffic Information was passed shortly after that by the pilot of Hawk (B), who announced his relative position to Hawk (A) and manoeuvred away. This highlights the importance of timely and *accurate* Traffic Information as a barrier to MAC, as well as vigilant lookout. It is also highly likely that had either aircraft been equipped with ACAS or TAS then pilot SA with respect to relative positions would have been greatly improved.

#### Summary

An Airprox was reported when two Hawk T1s flew into proximity at 1227 on Tuesday 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2014. Both pilots were operating under VFR in VMC and both were in receipt of a Traffic Service from Valley Radar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rules of the Air 2007 (as amended), Rule 8 (Avoiding aerial collisions).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ibid., Rule 9 (Converging).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ibid., Rule 10 (Approaching head-on).

# PART B: SUMMARY OF THE BOARD'S DISCUSSIONS

Information available consisted of reports from the pilots of both aircraft, a transcript of the relevant RT frequency, radar video recordings, a report from the air traffic controller involved and reports from the appropriate ATC and operating authorities.

In considering the pilots' actions, the Board noted that the pilot of Hawk (B) was undertaking his first solo on type and that this may have affected his capacity somewhat. Notwithstanding, the degree of dual instructional and synthetic training available to pilots at Valley prior to their first solo sortie was such that this would likely not of itself present a significant factor. Members quickly agreed that although the pilot of Hawk (B) had seen Hawk (A) at range he subsequently lost visual contact and that neither pilot had subsequently seen the other until CPA. Both pilots were passed Traffic Information as they approached each other but it was unfortunate that the timing of this compared to the highly dynamic nature of the aircrafts' manoeuvring meant that it probably contributed to an erroneous mental model of the geometry. Hawk (B) pilot was initially told that Hawk (A) was 'currently indicating 6000 feet in your left 10 o'clock, 8 miles, maneuvering, to which he replied that he was visual. Hawk (A) pilot was sufficiently concerned by the proximity of Hawk (B) that he requested an update of its position about 15sec later, to which he was told 'traffic [Hawk (B)] is west-northwest of you, one zero miles tracking south indicating 5500'. Members agreed this probably resulted in him believing Hawk (B) would pass west abeam his position and would not be a factor for his maximumrate turn exercise. As it happened, Hawk (B) pilot's track was just east of south, and he turned further left on to a southwesterly track shortly after the Traffic Information to Hawk (A) pilot. As a result, Hawk (B) was actually tracking more towards Hawk (A) and therefore reducing the separation. Traffic Information was passed to Hawk (B) pilot about a minute later, but this unfortunately reported Hawk (A) as being left 9 o'clock to him when he was further forward in the left 1030 position. Members felt that the Hawk (B) pilot probably then lowered his left wing whilst looking to the left 9 o'clock, which resulted in him turning gently left, further reducing separation. At this point, Hawk (A) pilot, on hearing that he was in Hawk (B)'s left 9 o'clock would probably have had his mental model of Hawk (B) passing west abeam reinforced, albeit at reduced range. Hawk (A) pilot was then given further Traffic Information on Hawk (B) 40sec later, 'north 1 mile maneuvering no height information', by which time it was too late to take action to affect separation. Members agreed that the pilots' mental models were such that they believed themselves to be further separated than they were; because neither pilot was visual with the other aircraft until at or near CPA, the Board agreed that the root cause of the Airprox was a non-sighting by both pilots.

Considering the controller's actions, members agreed that it was unfortunate that one of the Traffic Information calls was not accurate (left 9 o'clock rather than left 1030), but they noted the likely highly dynamic nature of the aircraft tracks and the fact that Hawk (B) pilot had previously called visual with Hawk (A) so the controller could therefore reasonably expect him to take avoiding action himself. Hawk (B) pilot did not communicate that he had subsequently lost visual on Hawk (A), and the dynamic manoeuvering, along with the incorrect Traffic Information, allowed Hawk (A) pilot to form an incorrect mental model of the geometry. In the event, both pilots reported separation at CPA which was supported by the radar recording and which would, in the normal course of events, be considered as non-risk bearing. However, members were keenly aware of the high closing speeds and the high-g maximum-performance descending turn of Hawk (A). This high-energy dynamic situation was such that the time available to take avoiding action before collision was significantly reduced. After some discussion, members agreed by a majority that chance had played a major part in events.

Members noted that Valley had gone to considerable effort to design safe yet flexible airspace within which to achieve its training task but commented that, in the absence of TAS/TCAS in the aircraft involved, this Airprox demonstrated that the ultimate mitigation against mid-air collision in Class G airspace was, and would remain, good situational awareness and an effective lookout. Notwithstanding, some members were concerned that Valley's delineation of airspace into GH areas might be a cause for concern in itself due to the funneling effect that resulted at times. But they recognized that there were also benefits in deconflicting Valley aircraft, and commented that good communication was the key to ensuring that pilots knew who was where in the GH areas.

# PART C: ASSESSMENT OF CAUSE AND RISK

Α.

<u>Cause</u>: Effectively a non-sighting by both pilots.

Degree of Risk:

ERC Score<sup>6</sup>: 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Although the Event Risk Classification (ERC) trial had been formally terminated for future development at the time of the Board, for data continuity and consistency purposes, Director UKAB and the UKAB Secretariat provided a shadow assessment of ERC.